ROCZNIKI TEOLOGICZNE Tom LXIV, zeszyt 3 – 2017

DOI: http://dx.do.org/10.18290/rt.2017.64.3-7

RENÉ BALÁK

NEVERENDING HISTORY OF THE USE OF VACCINES DERIVED FROM ABORTED INFANTS.

PART I: CRITIQUE OF TELEOLOGICAL PROPORTIONALISM AND CONSEQUENTIALISM FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF MORAL THEOLOGY

A b s t r a c t. The standard and obligatory use of unethical vaccines derived from aborted human foetuses, is currently a significant moral theological problem. It is a serious dilemma of conscience the situation when people become aware of the connection between their own actions and the morally wrong act committed by other person form a serious conscience dilemma. However, a few years after the release of declaration Pontifical Academy for Life *Moral Reflections on Vaccines Prepared from Cells Derived from Aborted Human Foetuses* (05.06.2005), this serious problem was pushed into oblivion. The moral assessment is still dominated by the consequentionalism and the proportionalism which reject papal *Magisterium ordinarium*.

Key words: papal teaching; vaccines; proportionalism; consequentialism; teleological methodology.

INTRODUCTION

Parentes et medici, "nascituri morituri vos salutant!" Greeting from a few dozen of unborn infants murdered through utilitarianism, who were inhumanly sacrificed on the altar of biomedical science and progress, is ad-

Dr René Balák, PhD Department of Philosophy and Center for Bioethics Faculty of Arts, University of Ss. Cyril and Methodius Nám. J. Herdu 2, 917 01 Trnava, Slovak Republic; address for correspondence: e-mail: info@theologiamoralis.info

¹ This variation of ancient-roman greeting is inspired by professor Tadeusz Styczeń. Cf. Tadeusz Styczeń, "Objawienie zakłada doświadczenie...", in JAN PAWEŁ II, *Evangelium vitae*. *Tekst i komentarze*, red. Tadeusz Styczeń, Janusz Nagórny (Lublin: RW KUL, 1997), 128.

dressed to all the people of good will, who realize that every human person from his conception to natural death pertains dignity of human being.²

Papal *Ecclesiae magisterium ordinarium* unquestionably appeals that "[...] the deliberate decision to deprive an innocent human being of his life is always morally evil and can never be licit either as an end in itself or as a means to a good end. It is in fact a grave act of disobedience to the moral law, and indeed to God himself, the author and guarantor of that law; it contradicts the fundamental virtues of justice and charity. Furthermore, no one is permitted to ask for the act of killing, either for himself or herself or for another person entrusted to his or her care, nor can he or she consent to it, either explicitly or implicitly. Nor can any authority legitimately recommend or permit such an action".³

Bioethical question (in the field of theology and philosophy) then is this: Is not the commandment of the Decalogue "Thou shall not kill!" ignored by the application of unethical vaccines, as well as the reality that "the person has a value in himself, and for that reason he cannot be used for any other end",⁴ and the personalistic norm *persona est affirmanda propter se ipsam*, that "a person is such a good, that is not possible to use as a subject or means to an end"⁵?

1. IMPOSTATIO OF THE PROBLEM

Ethical reflections on mandatory vaccination are less visible than the legal side of the issue, or the side of financial interests, however these ethical reflections will have in the future greater importance than today,⁶ because if biomedical science does not serve a person, then it is without a soul and inhuman.⁷ The proof of it is monstrous and inhuman biomedical practice on

 $^{^2}$ Cf. Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, $\it Dignitas\ personae$ (Rome, 2008), n. 1.

³ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Evangelium vitae (Rome, 1995), n. 57.

⁴ Cf. Helena HREHOVÁ, "Nové racionálne pochopenia života – bioetika a metafyzika", *Folia universitatis tyrnaviensis*, 15(2008), 41.

⁵ Cf. Marián MRÁZ, Problém utrpenia a jeho riešenie v medicínskej etike (Trnava, 2000), 73.

⁶ Cf. Jason L. SCHWARTZ, Arthur L. CAPLAN, "Ethics of vaccination programs", in *Current Opinion in Virology* 1(2011), 1-5.

⁷ Cf. Benedict XVI, Speech to the Participants in the 25th International Congress of Catholic Pharmacists (October 29, 2007).

the development and production,8 as well as the use of vaccines,9 which

⁸ L. Hayflick from the Wistar Institute at the University of Pennsylvania, S. Gard from Karolinska Institute in Stockholm, S. Plotkin and A. Girardi from Merck Research Institute participated in the development of vaccines. In creating the first cell lines there were performed more than forty intentionally wanted abortions, which is directly connected with a process of isolation of living rubella virus at that time. Some of the vaccines undoubtedly were and are nowadays developed in connection to intentionally performed abortions, seeing that there is a continual immoral practice of killing of unborn infants, at which the evidence is the cell culture IMR-90 developed in Coriell Institute for Medical Research, as well as the cell culture PER.C6 (cooperation of the University of Leiden) developed in the company Crucell in Holland, or MRC - 9. Cf. Jeffrey P. JACOBS et al., "Characteristics of a Human Diploid Cell Designated MRC-5", Nature 227(1970), 168, Leonard HAYFLICK, Paul S. MOOR-HEAD, "The Serial Cultivation of Human Diploid Cell Strains", Experimental Cell Research 25(1961) (December), 591n; Leonard HAYFLICK, Stanley A. PLOTKIN, Roger E. STEVENSON, "History of the Acceptance of Human Diploid Cell Strains as Substrates for Human Virus Vaccine Manufacture", Developments in Biological Standardization 68(1987), 9-17; Leonard HAYFLICK, "History of Cell Substrates Used for Human Biologicals", Developments in Biological Standardization 70(1989), 11-26; Leonard HAYFLICK, Paul S. MOORHEAD, "The Serial Cultivation of Human Diploid Cell Strains", 618; W. NICHOLS et al., "Characterization of a New Human Diploid Cell Strain, IMR-90" Science (April 1) 196.4285(1977), 60; Jeffrey P. JACOBS, A.J. GARRETT, Rosemary MERTON, "Characteristics of a serially propagated human diploid cell designated MRC-9", Journal of Biological Standardization 7(1979), 2:113-122.

⁹ These are vaccines, used worldwide: Varivax, Poliovax, Vivaxim, G-CSF, MMR, Priorix, Xigris, Meruvax II, ProQuad/MMR-V, Avaxim, Epaxal, DT PolAds, Varilrix, Zostavax, Pentacel, Imovax, Quadracel, Pulmozyme, Vaqta, Havrix, Twinrix, MR Vax, Eolarix, Infarix, Biavax II, Enbrel, Acambis 1000. Most of these vaccines can be sold in various countries under a different trade name. In Slovakia the following unethical vaccines are being used: ProQuad, Ambirix, Varivax, MMR VaxPro, Vaqta 25 and 50U, TwinRix, Avaxim 160U, MMR II, Havrix, Piorix, Priorix Tetra. All mentioned vaccines are developed and made, using various cell strains (WI-26 VA4, Hamster, WI38, HEK - 293, MRC - 5, rubella virus culture RA - 273), where all the cell strains originate from intentionally aborted human fetuses. In the context of the use of MMR vaccines (but also other unethical ones) some scientists observed significantly increased occurrence of autistic spectrum disorders, and the world of science broad about if gradual prevalence of autism is causally related with the use of vaccines, containing chain fragments of human DNA, derived from aborted human fetuses, murdered during the development of the vaccines (for the cause of creation substrates from cell cultures and isolation of living viruses) or with the chemical composition of vaccines, or contamination of vaccines. Some scientific data raise justified bioethical doubts and moral objections against the use of unethical vaccines, even though the cause of an alarming increase of autism can be the effect of correlative intersection of several factors. Cf. Theresa DEISHER et al., "Impact of environmental factors on the prevalence of autistic disorder after 1979", Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology 6(2014), 9:271-284; Brian S. HOOKER. "Measles-mumps-rubella vaccination timing and autism among young African American boys: a reanalysis of CDC data", Translational Neurodegeneration 2014, 3:16; David A. GEIER, Mark R. GEIER, "Pediatric MMR Vaccination Safety", International Pediatrics 18(2003), 2: 203-208; Janet K. KERN et al., "Evidence of neurodegeneration in autism spectrum disorder", Translational Neurodegeneration 2(2013), 17; Helen V. RATAJ-

cannot be named other than medical cannibalism, that is responsible for dozens of abortions of unborn infants, which utilitarianistically uses cell lines from intentionally aborted human fetuses.¹⁰

This is particularly repulsive and inhuman reality, that the whole process of vast number of abortions was scientifically planned, intended and logistically professionally managed, ¹¹ not only on the medical level, but also in terms of institutional international cooperation on realization of whole serials of abortions of unborn infants, where the development and production of vaccines were a common denominator of all performed abortions. Such a process scientifically and logistically has improved in such a way, that it has created and fixed an inhuman structure of the sin, which so far seems to be immune to the moral appeal of official Catholic religious doctrine, concerning classic theological analysis of the human act. ¹²

Pharmaceutical companies continue legalized conspiracy against the life of unborn children, who are victims of the primarily individual or group evil

CZAK, "Theoretical aspects of autism: Causes—A review", *Journal of Immunotoxicology* 8(2011), 1:68-79; David A. GEIER, Mark R. GEIER, "A comparative evaluation of the effects of MMR immunization and mercury doses from thimerosal-containing childhood vaccines on the population prevalence of autism", *Med Sci Monit* 10(2004), 133-139.

¹⁰ Cf. Debra L. VINEDGE, Aborted Fetal Cell Line Vaccines and the Catholic Family a Moral and Historical Perspective. Original Appeal Filed with the National Catholic Bioethics Center and the US Conference of Catholic Bishops, October 2005.

¹¹ Some authors (and scientists, who developed vaccines) point to the fact (in the long term hidden from the public), that more than forty abortions were intentionally performed in the development and production of the vaccine against rubella. Cf. Stanley A. PLOTKIN, "The History of Rubella and Rubella Vaccination Leading to Elimination, Clinical Infectious Diseases 43(2006) (Supplement 3), 164-168; Leonard HAYFLICK et al., "Preparation of Poliovirus Vaccines in a Human Fetal Diploid Cell Strain" American Journal of Hygiene 75(1962) (March), 240-258; Stanley A. PLOTKIN, John D. FARQUHAR, Michael KATZ, Fritz BUSER, "Attenuation of RA27/3 rubella virus in WI 38 human diploid cells", American Journal of Diseases of Children 118(1968), 178-85; Timothy COLLINS, "The Vaccination Question", The Angelus. Journal of Catholic Roman Tradition 2006 (February), 3-13. Several authors point to a planned and managed process of the development and production. Cf. Christine BEISWAN-GER, "A Brief History of IMR-90", Cell Collections 2003/2004, 5-6, http://ccr.coriell.org/ccr/n ewsletter/CCRNews.pdf 4.pdf; Rene LEIVA, "A Brief History of Human Diploid Cell Strains", The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly (Autumn, 2006), 443-451; Alvin Wong, "The Ethics of HEK 293", The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly (Autumn, 2006), 473-495; W. NI-CHOLS et al., "Characterization of a New Human Diploid Cell Strain, IMR-90", Science (April 1) 196.4285, 1977, 60; Arunee SABCHAREON, "A New Vero Cell Rabies Vaccine: Results of a Comparative Trial with Human Diploid Cell Rabies Vaccine in Children", Clinical Infectious Diseases 29(1999), 141-149; Jeffrey P. JACOBS et al., "Characteristics of a Human Diploid Cell Designated MRC-5", Nature 227(1970), 168-170.

¹² Cf. Catechism of the Catholic Church (Rome, 1992), n. 1750-1761.

of abortion, that gradually has transformed to a standard structure of evil, which is accepted by the contemporary culture of death, and even institutionally often glorifies it as a great medical progress.

According to official statements, through the use of global vaccination there have been achieved a great progress in the fight against many infectious diseases, however the word 'progress' is seen on the lips and in the minds, but in reality we can see the loss, defeat and destruction in the form of horrible reality, that medicine, which is to serve life and health, kills unborn infants in order to develop and produce preventive products (vaccines), declaring that they protect the health and life of living children nowadays. Medical service to life must not make a difference between the life of an unborn person and the life of a born person. However, is such biomedical practice (cannibalistic application of vaccines, derived from aborted human fetuses) truly authentic service to the life?

Global application of unethical vaccines directly supports global abortion industry of pharmaceutical companies and biomedicine, as well as inhuman methods and practices in the field of contemporary scientific research, development and new vaccines, what is immoral in itself, because it is encouraging moral evil, sinful structures and the culture of death. However it is hard to understand todays situation without naming the source of evil, that is plaguing a person. Catholic religious doctrine emphasizes that a person bears responsibility for the sins committed by others, when they cooperate with them by direct and voluntary participation, instruction and approval, failure to prevent, as well as by protection of those, who commit evil, that is however in ethical evaluation often hypocritically ignored.

The danger, that ethical conception of utilitarianism, consequentialism, proportionalism and teleological methodology of moral differentiation will become a decisive criteria for moral differentiation of the issue, is apparent. It is shocking how characteristic features of contemporary civilization are being expressed in most ethical evaluations, which is materialism, where persons are treated as things, or moral subjectivism, where there is nothing but individuality, even no absolute standards of good and evil, and finally

¹³ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, *Sollicitudo rei socialis* (Rome, 1987), n. 36. Such evil is a murder against humanity, that is intentionally wanted and legalized biomedical genocide of unborn infants, which is abortions of innocent human beings.

¹⁴ Cf. Catechism of the Catholic Church, n. 1868.

utilitarianism, where others are being used for their own benefit, calculating whether they are useful.¹⁵

The effect is an amazing teleological equilibrium, designing as good something, what is an extremely heinous crime against humanity: development, production, as well as the use of vaccines, derived from aborted human fetuses, whereas developed cell lines can be used only temporarily and for a shorter period, as it was originally assumed, and this fact has been known for decades. ¹⁶

2. TELEOLOGICAL METHODOLOGY OF CONSEQUENTIALISM AND PROPORTIONALISM IN MORAL EVALUATION

Nowadays teleologism (consequentialism and proportionalism) is the most frequently applied methodological way of moral and theological evaluation of vaccination. Traditionally, certificated by *Magisterii ecclesiae*, the concept of intrinsically evil acts, that are never allowed to do, neither use as a means for later good, and that do not transform neither intention nor goal into good, has been artfully abandoned.

It is exactly teleologism that is a fruit of earlier philosophical divergent division, which it represents in itself, and that is the suggestion of the conflict between human nature and freedom. The result is consequentially apparent solution to all emerging bioethical issues, stating that the object of responsibility is the only one: the world as a whole, while ignoring that every

¹⁵ Cf. Janne H. MATLARY, *Ľudské práva ohrozené mocou a relativizmom* (Prešov, 2007), 40. ¹⁶ Refusal of the use of such vaccines is a protection of life of the unborn infants, that are being killed nowadays due to the development of new vaccines, because new cell cultures are necessary because the old cell cultures cannot be used over and over again, as it is unscientifically stated by the supporters of vaccination with such vaccines. This is also confirmed by the following authors of this way developed vaccines. Cf. Leonard HAYFLICK, Mortality and Immortality at the Cellular Level. A review (August) (San Francisco: University of California, 1997); Leonard HAYFLICK. "The Limited in Vitro Lifetime of Human Diploid Cell Strains", Experimental Cell Research 37(1965), 611-636. However, this had already generally been known long before Hayflick stated it, because natural cell aging, where telomeres at the end of chromosomes, providing protection of chromosome DNA against destruction, whereby at the same time indicating the cell age, is a known medical fact. During the biological life of the cells there is a gradual loss of the part of nucleotides during biological processes taking place in a cell (its chromosomes). Telomere shortening has its significance in the fact, that it leads to knowledge of biological process of aging, where telomeres, which are constantly reducing, indicate cell age and its approaching end of biological life.

person is a spiritual and physical *compositum*, the whole in himself, having the seal of *Imago Dei*. It is difficult to come to a deeper understanding of this complicated bioethical dilemma without naming the source of evil, that is the crime of abortion, as well as its cannibalistic use for others.

Extension of the use of strategy of optimal effect of vaccination, where on the basis of balance of good and evil effects of the acts (the ratio between positive and negative effects of vaccination), decides if the act (the act of vaccination clearly connected with the murders of unborn infants) is morally good or evil, wrongly leads to a false belief that there is no act, which is not worthy of human being (the use of vaccines from aborted human fetuses), and that the fear of such proceeding must be eliminated by the praxeological rationality of the universal strategy of optimalization (achievement of a desired state of vaccination of children population). According to this theory a person can no longer build any barriers, having its own uncrossable boundaries in the very order of human beings, result of which is a false concept that it is determining which method to use and if this method is in accordance with ontological and true anthropological order of the act of creation.

The use of such vaccines is connected with the real risk of insulting God and God's biblical command 'Thou shalt not kill,¹⁷' so it is not possible to consider application of such vaccines as morally right, since it concerns minimal distant material cooperation on an evidently evil act. Indeed "it can happen that carrying out certain actions, which are provided for by legislation that overall is unjust, but which in themselves are indifferent, or even positive, can serve to protect human lives under threat. There may be reason to fear, that willingness to carry out such actions will not only cause scandal and weaken the necessary opposition to attacks on life, but will gradually lead to further capitulation to a mentality of permissiveness." ¹⁸

It relates to the social dimension of evil and sin, which is reflected in the establishment of pharmaceutical structures of evil, that is the source of evil and sin of abortion of innocent children, whereby such evil infects other members of society, especially doctors and parents. Some scientists, developers, producers, vaccine distributors, theologians, doctors or bioethicists permissively present moral evil of abortion as an accepted by society and neces-

¹⁷ Already in the book of Genesis there is an expressed God's will regarding respect of human life, where later a murder of human being is condemned. Cf. Gn 4:8-15; 9:6. Clear condemnation of a murder in the Decalogue Ex 20:13 or killing in other places Ex 21:12-17, is evident.

¹⁸ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Evangelium vitae, n. 74.

sary way of achieving intended consequences of vaccination, which according to such logic are meritoriously more important than previous acts directly launched against the lives of unborn children. Finally, it also concerns a social dimension of sin, where every sin against justice of the right of another person, beginning with the right to life, the right to physical integrity, against the freedom of another, is all the more serious social sin.¹⁹

In teleologism proposed account of good as a method to settle a particular moral duty worries, because the mistake of these theories lies in ignoring existing absolutely prohibited ways of acts, 20 for the universal standard of ethical evaluation has already been denied, as well as a natural moral order. The result of this theory is that it evaluates human acts explicitly on the basis of intention of an agent (subjective end) where it is enough that the final account of achieved profits and suffered losses will prove that positive effects outweigh the negative (both kinds of effects will be evaluated apart from the moral category), maximizing "good" and minimizing "evil", and after that everything is supposedly the way it should be. For it is absolutely enough that in agreement with the intentions of an agent, dishonorable acts are means to greater positive end, 21 which is also declared about vaccination.

It is striking that relatively broad spectrum of authors in theology proportionalistically and consequentially evaluates the act of vaccination in a way, that "evaluation depends on proportion between good and evil acts, whereas evaluation of acts in categories of good and evil (profits and losses) has an outside moral character, and therefore allows the choice of evil means for the purpose of achieving proportionally greater good (profit) and the least possible evil", 22 what is in conflict with the Catholic Tradition, as well as papal teaching *Magisterii ecclesiae*.

"Ethics" of utilitarian consequentialism evaluates proceedings in such way that whether an act is good or evil it entirely depends on its real and likely effects. According to this concept, an act is good at the time and only then, when it causes at least as much good as any other possible act, which an agent can perform or when an action is necessary at the time and only then,

-

¹⁹ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Reconciliatio et paenitentia (Rome, 1984), n. 16.

²⁰ Cf. Andrzej SZOSTEK, "Encyklika o «rozeznawaniu duchów, czy są one z Boga»", in Wokól encykliki "Veritatis splendor", ed. Jarosław Merecki (Częstochowa, 1994), 47-48.

²¹ Cf. Rocco Buttiglione, "Jak czytać encyklikę?", in Wokól encykliki "Veritatis splendor", 12-13.

²² Cf. Robert PLICH, "Proporcjonalizm", in *Encyklopedia katolicka*, vol. 16 (Lublin: TN KUL, 2012), col. 493.

when it causes more good than any other possible action, which an agent can perform.

However, the good and values to which consequentialism refers do not belong to the moral order, but to the out of moral order, non-moral or premoral order, ²³ while the value of an act according to utilitarian ethics of consequentialism is directly dependent on its effects. ²⁴ The result is acceptance of the only criteria, that is usefulness, like the property of some subject, which forms benefit, profit, pleasure, good or happiness of an interested party, (sometimes) for the greatest number of people. Therefore, we cannot identify with utilitarianism, ²⁵ which in the context of understanding of human nature excludes the concept of morally good or evil acts in themselves, by this proclaiming consequential teleologism. ²⁶

Different kinds of moral evil (abortions) and good (protection of life) in dominant teleologism are considered methodologically wrong, that is proportionalistically and consequentially. Dozens of intentionally murdered infants, utilitaristically used for the development and production of some vaccines are being compared to potential threat to the health of living children (which is such, that may not even happen), diseases against which there exists vaccination.

Absurd consideration of the value of life of unborn children, who in the past were and are now being murdered because of the development and production of preventive means (vaccines) in order to protect the health of other children, is in conflict with papal teaching *Magisterii ecclesiae* in *Veritatis splendor*²⁷ as well as in *Evangelium vitae*.²⁸ From theological point of view it is not possible to identify with this mistaken evaluation (with teleological methodology) without a radical departure from the fundamentals of the moral teachings of the Catholic Church, as well as from the normative fundamentals in *lex naturalis* based on *lex aeterna*.

²³ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Veritatis splendor, n. 75.

²⁴ Cf. Andrzej ROGALSKI, *Konsekwencja*, in *Encyklopedia katolicka*, vol. 9 (Lublin: TN KUL, 2002), col. 678-679.

²⁵ From catholic perspective K.Wojtyla also criticized utilitarianism, emphasizing relatedness of human being. Cf. Karol WOJTYŁA, *Miłość i odpowiedzialność* (Lublin, 1986), 36-41.

²⁶ Cf. Ireneusz MROCZKOWSKI, Natura osoby ludzkiej (Płock, 2012), 65.

²⁷ Cf. John Paul II, Veritatis splendor, n. 28-81.

²⁸ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Evangelium vitae, n. 58-63.

3. PARADOX IN SLOVAKIA: THE MORAL OBLIGATION?

In Catholic professional circles there is a general consensus that the way in which some vaccines are being developed and produced are explicitly immoral, that is the problem cannot be considered to be morally neutral and parents do not have to use these vaccines, because it is not possible to use evil in order to make good out of it.²⁹ As regards to application of the result of such monstrous and immoral development and production of specific vaccines, it is possible to observe divergent ethical evaluations.³⁰

Some ethicists generally accept, including several Catholic bishops in USA (generally considered as orthodox), that the use of cell lines from approx. 1960 up to 1970 in connection with the production of vaccines, is considered to be morally acceptable. These individual theological opinions or positions, constituted by certain individuals within the Catholic Church cannot be understood as definitely normative, neither as morally binding, since the comparison of benefits, which is brought by the development (research) or a vaccine, is based on an incorrect proportionalistic and consequentialistic principle.

Nevertheless, these opinions raise justified apprehension about the future of a true moral differentiation in the field of bioethics and moral theology.

.

²⁹ Cf. Robert VASA. "Lives are saved, but some vaccine aren't morally neutral", in *Catholic Sentinel* 19(2009), February.

³⁰ Cf. Piotr H. KIENIEWICZ, "Gdy nie ma innego wyjścia...Wobec stosowania szczepionek opracowanych przy wykorzystaniu linii komórkowych uzyskanych z abortowanych plodów ludzkich" *Roczniki Teologiczne* 53(2006), 3:141-151, René BALÁK, "Mandatory vaccination and conscientious objection", *Forum Teologiczne* 15(2014), 67-82; René BALÁK, *Mysterium vitae* – Život človeka v rukách človeka, II. Kraków 2014 (chapter n. 4: mandatory vaccination); Daniel MAHER, "Vaccines, Abortion, and Moral Coherence", *The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly* 2.1 (Spring 2002), 51-67; Edward J. FURTON, "Vaccines and the Right of Conscience", *The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly* 4.1 (Spring 2004), 53-62, John D. GRABENSTEIN, "Moral Considerations with Certain Viral Vaccines", *Christianity & Pharmacy* 2(1999), 2:3-6.

³¹ The author presents paradoxical situation, when subjects (for ex. the Catholic Church), proclaiming unconditional respect to human life, in some rare cases (it concerns individuals from the number of bishops and theologians, ethicists) create an appearance of moral allowance or acceptability of the use of such vaccines. Cf. Alexander R. PRUSS, "Cooperation with paste evil and use of cell-lines derived from aborted fetuses", in *Cooperation, Complicity & Conscience*, ed. H. Watt (London, 2005), 89.

³² Cf. Statement of Bioethics Subcommittee of the Theological committee of the Episcopal Conference of Slovakia towards some ethical aspects of mandatory vaccination (Štrbské Pleso, October 26, 2013).

Is it a justified question to ask on the basis of what generally this moral belief of certain individuals presents that mentioned action was accredited by (some) Catholic bishops and that it is theologically correct? There is a moral paradox, originating in applied moral proportionalism and consequentialism, as this methodological approach of teleological moral evaluation of human acts in the spirit of proportionalism and consequentialism was condemned by pope John Paul II in the encyclical *Veritatis splendor*.³³

The Pope highlights that decisive and determining is the subject of human act, that is an immediate end of a conscious choice, which is the cause of the will of an agent, and that the circumstances or intentions will not be able to ethically change an intrinsically wrong act into a good act. Applying papal words to the act of vaccination it is clear, that the circumstances of the procedure of vaccination will not be able to remove evil from the evil act (the murder of unborn infants), which is subjectively and causally connected with todays application of vaccines (that are direct effect of serial murder), what without that heinous crime would not be possible.

Some individuals incomprehensibly hold even more divergent moral position, which is even more in conflict with the teachings of the encyclical *Veritatis splendor* (which in principle does not allow cooperation with evil act) and *Evangelium vitae* (prohibits any cooperation on the crime of murder), because they proclaimed ethically evil act, (at least) a direct material distant cooperation on the dozens of abortions, as a moral duty of parents, what is a unique theological position within the Catholic Church. It has been declared, that "on the other side, as long as the vaccine substance is unavailable, which would be prepared with the use of cell or tissue culture prepared in an ethical appropriate way, parents are morally justified, even obliged – in regard to serious reasons of protection of life and the health of their own child – to vaccine their children with an existing vaccination substance".³⁴

This is explicitly in moral conflict with papal *Ecclesiae magisterium ordinarium*. Basically, this claim teleologically focuses "on consensus of human acts with intentions, which an agent achieves, and with the values, that he himself wants to achieve. Standards for proper analysis of morality of the act emerge from judgement of non-moral or pre-moral goods, which should be achieved, or its non-moral or pre-moral values, which should be maintained.

³³ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Veritatis splendor, n. 71-82.

³⁴ Cf. Statement of Bioethics Subcommittee of the Theological committee of the Episcopal Conference of Slovakia towards some ethical aspects of mandatory vaccination. n. 4 (Štrbské Pleso, October 26, 2013).

However, alternative vaccines exist (in the case of rubella there is an alternative medical procedure of protection of the health of pregnant woman and her unborn child), thus eliminating one of the mentioned proportionalistic conditions of the conditional and temporary use of unethical vaccines.³⁵ The use of unethical vaccines carries out the support of a complex and professional managed structure of evil, which are pharmaceutical companies and institutions, performing monstrous development practices, that deprive unborn children of life.

According to the judgement of some individuals, concrete method of action is either correct or wrong based on whether it can or cannot improve factual situation with regard to all interested: correct should be considered such proceeding, which would "maximize" goods, and evil would be the ones that "minimize" them. 36" It means that: (minimum) a direct use of serial crime of abortions for the declared good end of vaccination, which is implicit acceptance of evil of the murder of unborn infants, as morally allowed (in this case of an instructed) means to achieve declared medical good for another subject – a child; utilitarian use of instrumentalization of human life of unborn infants (development and production of vaccines by the means of somatic tissues derived from intentionally aborted infants); existential denial of imperative of natural moral law – to do good and avoid evil; deprivation of the right of conscience (which is defended by the church in the teachings of Magisterium); has been proclaimed as acceptable, even (sic!) as a moral duty.

No any other subject within the Catholic Church (as far as it is known to the author) derived from the teachings of *Magisterii ecclesiae* in this problematic so expressively, which is no other subject announced carrying out morally evil act of a distant material cooperation on the crime of dozen of unborn children as a moral duty!³⁷ Papal *Magisterium ordinarium* clearly teaches, that "Though it is true that sometimes it is lawful to tolerate a lesser moral evil in order to avoid a greater evil or in order to promote a greater

³⁵ Cf. Angel Rodriguez Luño, "Riflessioni etiche sui vaccini preparati a partire da cellule provenienti da feti umani abortiti", *Medicina e Morale* 55(2005), 3:521-530. The author reminds about alternative Japanese vaccines against rubella and type A hepatitis. He considers its sale and distribution to be ethically wrong, as well as the fact that its use contributes for its demand of such vaccines or support of social climate of its approbation and for maintaining abuse and injustice.

³⁶ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Veritatis splendor, n. 74.

³⁷ Cf. Statement of Bioethics Subcommittee of the Theological committee of the Episcopal Conference of Slovakia towards some ethical aspects of mandatory vaccination, n. 3 and 4 (Štrbské Pleso, 26.10.2013).

good, it is never lawful, even for the gravest reasons, to do evil that good may come of it – in other words, to intend directly something which of its very nature contradicts the moral order, and which must therefore be judged unworthy of man, even though the intention is to protect or promote the welfare of an individual, of a family or of society in general". 38

Even if it were to agree to the methodology of proportionalism and consequentialism, by thus in the past planned and serially performed abortions, causally connected with a final end, which is with concrete unethical vaccines, definitely are not lesser moral evil than hypothetical occurrence of certain diseases nowadays. It is impossible to theologically agree with what is stated about moral duty of parents participation in vaccination program, because it correlates with situational ethics (a long while ago condemned in the statements of *Magisterii Ecclesiae*), ³⁹ where the moral order (law) has absolutely not been applied, and where apparently traditional concept of human nature is not enough anymore, but crucial is specific (variable) ethical situation, together with its subjective individualistic interpretation, where the end is superior to the objective moral order and human nature, whereby everything is relativized by the internal intuition of a human person.

It is necessary to point out to a dangerous fact, that not only valid legislative wants to posivistically withdraw God's given right from parents to freely and responsibly decide on the basis of judgement of their conscience, 40 but also mentioned position of the institution, that is an integral part of the Catholic Church. In contrast to this, papal *Ecclesiae magisterium ordinarium* adequately explains the relation of conscience and truth, that accentuates not only irreplaceable meaning of individual conscience of human being, but also necessity of respecting God's law and objective truth in the conscience of human being in the context of the gift of freedom. 41

³⁸ Cf. PAUL VI, *Humanae vitae*, n. 14. Papal teachings (expressed in a different context), referring to one of his predecessors (Pius XII), points to reality, that it is impossible to do evil in order to achieve good end.

³⁹ Cf. Suprema Sacra Congregatio s. Officii (Sacred Congregation of the Holy Office), "Instructio ad ordinarios omnes necnon ad magistros in seminariis, in athenaeis, vel in studiorum universitatibus docentes et adlectores in studiorum domibus religiosorum: De «Ethica situationis»", *AAS – Commentarium officiale* 48(1956), 144-145.

⁴⁰ John Paul II defended the freedom of conscience in different contexts on many occasions. Cf. Message for the World Peace Day XXIV: "If You want Peace, respect the Conscience of every person", n. I (Vatican (January 1), 1991). Likewise, he defended religious freedom. Cf. La libertá religiosa condizione per la pacifica convivenza. Messaggio per la giornata mondiale per la pace (December 8) 1987.

⁴¹ Cf. JOHN PAUL II. Veritatis splendor, n. 54-64.

The statements of the subcommittee evidently correlate with teleological methodology of moral evaluation of human act in the spirit of consequentialism and proportionalism, which was condemned in papal *Magisterium Ecclesiae* in *Veritatis splendor* as wrong,⁴² and at the same time oppose the words of papal *Magisterii Ecclesiae* in *Evangelium vitae*, where pope, referring to the document of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith *Iura et bona*, clearly teaches, that "[...] furthermore, no one is permitted to ask for this act of killing, either for himself or herself or for another person entrusted to his or her care, nor can he or she consent to it, either explicitly or implicitly. Nor can any authority legitimately recommend or permit such an action".⁴³

This means, that nobody can use abortion directly or indirectly for him or herself or for their own children, and nobody can force or allow it, whereby cannibalistic intramuscular (or subcutaneous) application of such vaccines is logically active use and requests for serial crime of abortions of innocent unborn infants, for another human being, that is for children living nowadays. Crucial is believed to be consensus of a human act (mandatory vaccination with unethical vaccines) with the intention (to fulfill legislative regulation to absolve global program of vaccination, that the agent (a parent, a doctor) achieves, and with the values, that he wants to gain (protection of children against infectious diseases).

The "standard" for evaluation of a concrete procedure (legislatively forced vaccination with vaccines from aborted human fetuses) is whether it can or not improve factual situation with regard to all interested (wanted absence of occurrence of infectious diseases, wanted protection against infectious diseases), whereas as correct should be considered such a procedure (forced use of unethical vaccines), that would maximize (protection against infectious diseases) goods, and evil, the one that would minimize (non-use of unethical vaccines).

Methodology of this perverse moral evaluation clearly and unmistakably condemned papal *Magisterium ecclesiae*,⁴⁴ explaining connection with so called "autonomous morality" and with the immanent bond of human will with human freedom, in relation to human act, where teleological ethics leads to ignorance of absolutely forbidden choice of certain procedures. On the basis of such reasoning about moral value of human act there is such a conception of human freedom, where moral evaluation of an act is dependent on realization of individual freedom of a person, whereby his human nature is merely seen as a set of

_

⁴² Cf. John Paul II, Veritatis splendor, n. 74-77.

⁴³ Cf. JOHN PAUL II, Evangelium vitae, n. 57.

⁴⁴ Cf. JOHN PAUL II. Veritatis splendor, n. 71-75.

conditions serving freedom, and goods, belonging to human nature, are called pre-moral or non-moral, so do not influence the final criteria for choice. "Therefore, it is not surprising, that contemporary theologians do not see the reason, on behalf of which in every situation it would be appropriate to negatively evaluate [...] abortion, because there can always be found circumstances which justify such acts on the ground of unlimited freedom of a person".⁴⁵

*

When applying such vaccines a person (a parent), however, indirectly instrumentalizes the life of murdered unborn children, making a cannibalistic object out of them, who serves his own interests or interests of persons, who are entrusted to his responsibility, that is his own children. By the act of free use of such vaccines a person (a parent) implicitly and automatically requests the death of other unborn children, sacrificed on the altar of scientific research in the name of medical progress, for their own living children.

In the moral essence of such a procedure even teleological methodology and permissive equilibristics of moral consequentialism and proportionalism can change nothing, which calculates the expected consequences of vaccination and compares values of the health of living children to the ones, that were unjustly deprived of life in the past, in order to produce, develop and use vaccines for other persons, living today. The ethics of consequentialism and proportionalism on behalf of science compares the good of a human life of murdered innocent children to the good of the health and its protection in living children, reducing them (the life of murdered children) to acceptable proportion of good and evil consequences, or in other words, a greater good or lesser evil.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BENEDICT XVI. Speech to the Participants in the 25th International Congress of Catholic Pharmacists (October, 29, 2007).

JOHN PAUL II. Evangelium vitae. Rome, 1995.

JOHN PAUL II. Reconciliatio et paenitentia. Rome, 1984.

⁴⁵ Cf. Ireneusz Mroczkowski, *Zło i grzech. Studium filozoficzno-teologiczne* (Lublin, 2000), 310.

- JOHN PAUL II. Veritatis splendor. Rome, 1993.
- JOHN PAUL II. Sollicitudo rei socialis. Rome, 1987.
- John Paul II Message for the World Peace Day XXIV: "If You want Peace, respect the Conscience of every person" (January, 1), 1991.
- JOHN PAUL II. La libertá religiosa condizione per la pacifica convivenza. Messaggio per la giornata mondiale per la pace. (December, 8) 1987.
- PAUL VI. Humanae vitae. Rome, 1968.
- CONGREGATION FOR THE DOCTRINE OF THE FAITH. Dignitas personae. Rome, 2008.
- SUPREMA SACRA CONGREGATIO S. OFFICII (SACRED CONGREGATION OF THE HOLY OFFICE). Instructio ad ordinarios omnes necnon ad magistros in seminariis, in athenaeis, vel in studiorum universitatibus docentes et adlectores in studiorum domibus religiosorum: De "Ethica situationis". *AAS Commentarium officiale* 48(1956):144-145.
- Catechism of the Catholic Church. Rome, 1992.
- Statement of Bioethics Subcommittee of the Theological committee in the Episcopal Conference of Slovakia towards some ethical aspects of mandatory vaccination. Štrbské Pleso (26.10.2013).
- BALÁK, René. "Mandatory vaccination and conscientious objection". Forum Teologiczne 15(2014): 67-82.
- BALÁK, René. Mysterium vitae Život človeka v rukách človeka. II. Kraków: TSP, 2014.
- BEISWANGER, Christine. A Brief History of IMR-90. *Cell Collections* 2003/2004: 5-6, (http://ccr.coriell.org/ccr/newsletter/CCRNews.pdf_4.pdf.)
- BUTTIGLIONE, Rocco. "Jak czytać encyklikę?" In Wokól encykliki "Veritatis splendor". Ed. Jarosław Merecki, 12-13. Częstochowa, 1994.
- COLLINS, Timothy. "The Vaccination Question". *The Angelus. Journal of Catholic Roman Tradition* (February, 2006): 3-13.
- DEISHER, Theresa et al. "Impact of environmental factors on the prevalence of autistic disorder after 1979". *Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology* 6(2014), 9:271-284.
- FURTON, Edward J. "Vaccines and the Right of Conscience". *The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly* 4.1 (Spring 2004): 53-62.
- GEIER, David A., GEIER, Mark R. "Pediatric MMR Vaccination Safety". *International Pediatrics* 18(2003), 2:203-208.
- GEIER, David A., GEIER, Mark R. "A comparative evaluation of the effects of MMR immunization and mercury doses from thimerosal-containing childhood vaccines on the population prevalence of autism". *Med Sci Monit* 10(2004): 133-139.
- GRABENSTEIN, John D. "Moral Considerations with Certain Viral Vaccines". *Christianity & Pharmacy* 2(1999), 2:3-6.
- HAYFLICK, Leonard et al. "Preparation of Poliovirus Vaccines in a Human Fetal Diploid Cell Strain". *American Journal of Hygiene* 75(1962) (March): 240-258.
- HAYFLICK, Leonard. *Mortality and Immortality at the Cellular Level. A review*. (August). San Francisco: University of California, 1997.
- HAYFLICK, Leonard. "The Limited in Vitro Lifetime of Human Diploid Cell Strains." *Experimental Cell Research* 37(1965): 611-636.
- HAYFLICK, Leonard, MOORHEAD, Paul S. "The Serial Cultivation of Human Diploid Cell Strains". *Experimental Cell Research* 25(1961) (December): 585-621.
- HAYFLICK, Leonard, PLOTKIN, Stanley, STEVENSON, Roger E. "History of the Acceptance of Human Diploid Cell Strains as Substrates for Human Virus Vaccine Manufacture". *Developments in Biological Standardization* 68(1987): 9-17.
- HAYFLICK, Leonard. "History of Cell Substrates Used for Human Biologicals". *Developments in Biological Standardization* 70(1989): 11-26.

- HOOKER, Brian S. "Measles-mumps-rubella vaccination timing and autism among young African American boys: a reanalysis of CDC data". *Translational Neurodegeneration* (2014), 3:16.
- HREHOVÁ, Helena, "Nové racionálne pochopenia života bioetika a metafyzika". Folia universitatis tyrnaviensis 15(2008): 38-42.
- JACOBS, Jeffrey P. et al. "Characteristics of a Human Diploid Cell Designated MRC-5". *Nature* 227(1970): 168-170.
- JACOBS, Jeffrey P., GARRETT, A.J., MERTON, Rosemary. "Characteristics of a serially propagated human diploid cell designated MRC-9". *Journal of Biological Standardization* 7(1979), 2:113-122.
- KERN, Janet K. et al. "Evidence of neurodegeneration in autism spectrum disorder". *Translational Neurodegeneration* 2(2013): 17.
- KIENIEWICZ, Piotr H. "Gdy nie ma innego wyjścia... Wobec stosowania szczepionek opracowanych przy wykorzystaniu linii komórkowych uzyskanych z abortowanych płodów ludzkich". *Roczniki Teologiczne* 53(2006), 3:141-151.
- LEIVA, René. "A Brief History of Human Diploid Cell Strains". *The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly* (Autumn, 2006): 443-451.
- LUÑO, Angel Rodriguez. "Riflessioni etiche sui vaccini preparati a partire da cellule provenienti da feti umani abortiti". *Medicina e Morale* 55(2005), 3:521-530.
- MAHER, Daniel. "Vaccines, Abortion, and Moral Coherence". *The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly* 2.1 (Spring, 2002): 51-67.
- MATLARY, Janne H. *Ľudské práva ohrozené mocou a relativizmom*. Prešov: Vadavateľstvo Michala Vaška. 2007.
- MRÁZ, Marián. Problém utrpenia a jeho riešenie v medicínskej etike. Trnava: Dobrá kniha, 2000.
- MROCZKOWSKI, Ireneusz. Natura osoby ludzkiej. Płock: Płocki Instytut Wydawniczy, 2012.
- MROCZKOWSKI, Ireneusz. Zło i grzech. Studium filozoficzno-teologiczne. Lublin: RW KUL, 2000.
- NICHOLS W. et al. "Characterization of a New Human Diploid Cell Strain," IMR-90. Science (April, 1) 196.4285, 1977, 60.
- PLICH, Robert. "Proporcjonalizm". In *Encyklopedia katolicka*, vol. 16, Lublin: TN KUL, 2012, col. 493.
- PLOTKIN, Stanley A. "The History of Rubella and Rubella Vaccination Leading to Elimination". *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 43(2006) (Supplement 3):164-168.
- PLOTKIN, Stanley A. FARQUHAR, John D., KATZ, Michael, BUSER, Fritz. "Attenuation of RA27/3 rubella virus in WI 38 human diploid cells". *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 118(1968): 178-185.
- PRUSS, Alexander R. "Cooperation with paste evil and use of cell-lines derived from aborted fetuses". In *Cooperation, Complicity & Conscience*. Ed. Helen Watt. London: The Linacre Centre, 2005: 89-104.
- RATAJCZAK, Helen V. "Theoretical aspects of autism: Causes—A review". *Journal of Immuno-toxicology* 8(2011), 1:68-79.
- ROGALSKI, Andrzej. "Konsekwencja". In Encyklopedia katolicka, vol. 9, col. 678-679.
- SCHWARTZ, Jason L., CAPLAN, Arthur L. "Ethics of vaccination programs". *Current Opinion in Virology* 1(2011):1-5.
- SABCHAREON, Arunee. "A New Vero Cell Rabies Vaccine: Results of a Comparative Trial with Human Diploid Cell Rabies Vaccine in Children". *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 29(1999): 141-149.

- STYCZEŃ, Tadeusz. "Objawienie zaklada doświadczenie..." In *Jan Paweł II. "Evangelium vitae"*. *Tekst i komentarze*. Ed. Tadeusz Styczeń, Janusz Nagórny, 115-133. Lublin: RW KUL, 1997.
- SZOSTEK, Andrzej. "Encyklika o «rozeznawaniu duchów, czy są one z Boga»". In *Wokól encykliki "Veritatis splendor*" ("Biblioteka Niedzieli", vol. 3). Ed. Jarosław Merecki, 47-48. Czestochowa, 1994.
- VASA, Robert. "Lives are saved, but some vaccine aren't morally neutral". *Catholic Sentinel* 19 (February, 2009).
- VINEDGE, Debra L. Aborted Fetal Cell Line Vaccines and the Catholic Family a Moral and Historical Perspective. Original Appeal Filed with the National Catholic Bioethics Center and the US Conference of Catholic Bishops. October 2005.
- Wojtyła, Karol. Miłość i odpowiedzialność. Lublin: TN KUL, 1986.
- WONG, Alvin. "The Ethics of HEK 293". *The National Catholic Bioethics Quarterly* (Autumn, 2006):473-495.

NIEKOŃCZĄCA SIĘ HISTORIA O UŻYCIU SZCZEPIONEK POCHODZĄCYCH Z ABORTOWANYCH PŁODÓW LUDZKICH. CZ. I: KRYTYKA TELEOLOGICZNEGO PROPORCJONALIZMU I KONSEKWENCJONALIZMU Z PERSPEKTYWY TEOLOGICZNOMORALNEJ

Streszczenie

Standardowe i obowiązkowe stosowanie szczepionek pochodzących z abortowanych płodów ludzkich stanowi obecnie istotny problem teologicznomoralny. Rodzi ono poważne dylematy sumienia, gdy osoby uświadamiają sobie istnienie związku pomiędzy jej własnym działaniem i czynem moralnie złym popełnionym przez inną osobę. Warto do tej kwestii powracać tym bardziej, że ma się wrażenie, iż bardzo szybko zapomniano o wskazaniach na ten temat zawartych w dokumencie Papieskiej Akademii Życia pt. Rozważania moralne o szczepionkach przygotowanych z komórek pochodzących z abortowanych płodów ludzkich (05.06.2005). W ocenie moralnej nadal można obserwować przewagę konsekwencjonalizmu i proporcjonalizmu, który odrzuca papieskie Magisterium ordinarium.

Słowa kluczowe: nauczanie papieskie; szczepionki; proporcjonalizm; konsekwencjalizm; metodologia teleologiczna.