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THE FIRST POLISH-FRENCH RENDEZ-VOUS OF CULTURES. HENRY III OF FRANCE’S (HENRI DE VALOIS’) POLISH EPISODE

The first half of the 16th century saw the formation of personal relations between Poland and France. Not too many Poles studied in France, stayed at the royal court or even travelled there, but they were often young members of distinguished families, who later held high positions in the Commonwealth of Poland and Lithuania. On the eve of the election in 1573 (following the death of Sigismund II Augustus), members of the Polish elite knew France and some spoke French, but the general public in both countries knew little of each other.1

The episode of Henri de Valois on the Polish throne is well known. That is when the solid history of Polish-French political and cultural relations began. It was not a smooth beginning. The French and Poles, nations with different cultural backgrounds, conflicting interests and dissimilar attitudes towards different faiths, got entangled in a complex diplomatic game. As a result of mutual contacts, full of misunderstandings, they did not so much get to know each other, but rather became aware of the unbudgeable gaps between them.
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1 In King Sigismund Augustus’ office and court, former students of French professors or travellers to France only play an important role. See Henryk BARYCZ, “Pod urokiem humanistycznego Paryża,” in IDEM, Z dziejów polskich wędrówek naukowych za granice (Wrocław: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich, 1969), 233.
Already during the first extensive contacts due to political reasons, i.e. the visit of Polish envoys in Paris in the summer of 1573, the French and Poles were made aware of their differences: Henri de Valois’ five-month stay in Poland sparked the birth of galophobia in Polish society. Later on, Poland, a veritable exemplar of tolerance, saw the rise of xenophobia, evidenced in literature since the late 16th century. The first Polish-French rendez-vous ended in a verbal duel of spite between Philippe Desportes and his Polish counterparts. Paradoxically, Jan Kochanowski’s reply, a paragon of masterful bitter irony, elevated this exchange above the political, everyday reality, to the level of dialogue between poets.

POLITICS, AN INAUSPICIOUS BEGINNING

The French tried to install Henri de Valois on the Polish throne three times in the second half of the 16th century. Henri was the favourite son of Catherine de’ Medici and brother of the then King Charles IX of France. In the early 1570s, a member of the French royal entourage, the midget Jan Krasowski, set out on a secret mission to the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth to praise Henry’s prowess and talents at the Polish court. A second envoy entrusted with the same mission was dispatched to Poland during the advanced stages of sickness of King Sigismund II Augustus, who had produced no heir to the throne. However, envoy Jean de Balany arrived in Krakow after the monarch’s death. The final mission, involving an official presentation of Henry’s candidacy to the Polish throne, was carried out by Jean de Monluc, who left for Poland in August 1572. While Monluc was on his way to Poland, the St. Bartholomew’s Day massacre took place in France, which seemed to thwart the chances of Henri de Valois assuming the throne of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, a haven of religious tolerance.

The election of Henry as king of Poland was, in the early 1570s, a major stake in France’s internal interests. Charles IX wanted to get rid of his brother, while Catherine de’ Medici wished to see him as the king of a powerful country. From the point of view of the mother of kings, the Polish

---

throne was to strengthen in the eyes of French Catholic aristocracy the image of Henry as an efficient military commander (as king of Poland, Henry was to wage war on Moscow), capable of defeating the Protestants. Once Charles IX would die due to ill health, Catherine de’ Medici wanted Henri de Valois to return to Paris and assume the French throne. The royal mother would not like the French throne to be assumed by her younger son, Francis de Valois, who was sympathetic towards people of various beliefs. The plan was to postpone Francis’ rightful regency until Henry’s return from Poland. Sending Henry to Poland, Catherine de’ Medici wanted to prove that she cared of the interests of the monarchy more than she did of that of her children. This would secure both her strong position in the country and regency.

Henry becoming the king of Poland was, then, the central element of Catherine’s complex strategy of internal politics (to say nothing about the strengthening of the position of France with respect to the Habsburgs) and had nothing to do with the will to establish closer links with a northern kingdom. This political agenda determined the quality of French-Polish relations, including cultural ones. During the election, the French and the Poles were, on the one hand, forced to present their own nation to “foreigners”, glossing over some less glorious aspects, and on the other hand to introduce those “foreigners” to their compatriots, assuring them that they were a most adequate political partner. Jean de Monluc took part in the creation of this fiction. The truth became apparent in the summer of 1573.

CULTURE HIATUS

In the summer of 1573, Polish envoys set out for France to obtain the consent of the future king, Henri de Valois, for the conditions of his elections. The eleven-strong delegation included eminent humanists such as Mikołaj Firlej, Jan Zamoyski, Olbracht Łaski, Mikołaj Radziwiłł “Sierotka,” and Jan Herburt. The delegation entered Paris on August 19. They were

---

3 Ewa Kociszewska recreates the context of the interior interests of France of the period on the basis of analysis of the Ballet des Polonais, a performance in honour of the Polish delegation to Paris in 1573 (Eadem, “War and Seduction in Cybele’s Garden: Contextualizing the Ballet des Polonais,” Renaissance Quarterly, Vol. 65, No. 3 (Fall 2012): 809–63).

4 Different texts provide different dates of the entry of Polish envoys to Paris. I quote after: Diariusz poselstwa polskiego do Francji po Henryka Walezego w 1573 roku, ed. Adam Przyboś,
welcomed by “niemało książąt, markizów i kontów, przy których poczet wielki ślachty” [a vast number of dukes, marquises, counts, and large delegations of nobility], by onlookers along the road, “niezliczona rzecz ludzi, która się tam była [im] ku dziwowaniu zeszła” [an innumerable crowd of people who arrived to satiate their curiosity]. The curiosity and the exaltation were by all means justified since, in the opinion of Charles IX’s court poet Jean Dorat, Poland was “cultissima,” like Rome during the reign of Augustus, and Ovid himself had his grave among the Sarmatians:

Roma sub Augusto fuit urbs cultissima quondam,
Et qua Nasoni poena carare foret.
At tunc Sauromatae fuerant gens barbara contra,
Et quam Nasoni poena videre fuit.
Nunc si tam cultos is cerneret arte Polonos,
Et Romam contra barbariae similem:
Diceret Augusto, ne se revocaret in urbem:
Sauromatae Latium, Roma sit exilium.

The Polish envoys, arriving from the end of the world, amazed the onlookers with their attire, culture and education. The fact that in the background of this image was another one, of an ice-cold and barbaric country, did not matter at that point. During the first official visit of Poles to Paris, the French tried to come to terms with the exotic nature of their guests, referring them to a reality of the ancient world, distant enough, mythical and yet real. In the France of the latter half of the 16th c. there was no more ennobling reference. This did not change the fact that it was, above all, convenient for the French monarchy and in 1573 nobody intended to confront it with reality. The ill-concealed surprise expressed in French accounts


Both quotes: Diariusz poselstwa polskiego, 106.


See e.g. François Rosset, Drzewo Kraków, 24, 33; Ewa Kociszewska, “The Sun King in the Realm of Eternal Winter. The Unknown Medal of Henri de Valois, King of Poland (1573),” French Studies Bulletin 30, No. 113 (2009): 78–82.
of the visit concerning contacts with Polish deputies expressed confusion with and incomprehension of their differences.

Matters looked quite similar on the Polish side; what was unknown did not arouse any deeper interest. The envoys were received by Catherine de’ Medici in the magnificent buildings and gardens of Paris. In the summer of 1573 in Paris one could already admire the Renaissance facades of the western and southern wings of the Louvre and a section of the Tuileries Palace. However, our eminent humanists made no mention of the magnificent architecture of the French capital in their memoirs and letters; the envoys limited themselves to enumerating the names of the places they visited.9

The quintessence of the Polish delegation members’ reaction to the world of French culture was the amount of attention paid in their memoirs to the following celebrations of 15 September 1573. That day, one day after Henri de Valois was elected king of Poland, Catherine de’ Medici held a solemn event in honour of the envoys; the performance went down in history as the Ballet des Polonais.10 The performance was staged by the members of the Académie de musique et de poésie, an erudite institution set up in 1570 under the auspices of Jean Antoine de Baif and Thibault de Courville, aiming at rejuvenating ancient art. The Ballet des Polonais was the outcome of the work eminent artists: Balthazar de Beaujoyeu lx (Baltazarino di Belgioioso, choreography), Jean Dorat (Latin songs) and Pierre de Ronsard and


Amadis Jamyn (French songs). The production was constructed on the basis of erudite references to ancient literature and French contemporary literature as well as correspondences between text and dance. The message of the performance, heavily focused on military metaphor and expressed in the choreography via complex sequences of geometrical figures, was indebted to the humanist idea of the inalienable relations between cosmic order and arithmetics, geometry and music. It moreover drew on the popular idea of the time in Paris of the relationship between geometry and military art. The entire show was a complex artistic and intellectual structure, which illustrated Catherine de’ Medici’s political aspirations.

The French were in raptures. The Ballet des Polonais was expressive of the spirit of the refined French culture of the day and of the sophisticated aesthetic preferences of the French court. In his memoirs, Pierre de Bourdeille (Brantôme) lavished praise on the Ballet des Polonais, which was “le plus beau ballet qui fut jamais fait au monde.” According to Agryppa d’Aubigné, the Poles were as awed as the French:

---

11 We know the course of the performance from a variety of sources, including a commemorative issue of Magnificentissimi spectaculi [...] descriptio. Apart from a sequence of descriptions, narrations and quotes, it included a translation (by Jean Dorat) of French poems into Latin for the Polish envoys (Magnificentissimi spectaculi a regina regum matre in hortis suburbanis editi, in Henrici regis Poloniae inuictissimi nuper renunciati gratulationem, descriptio, Parisii: Ex Officina F. Morelli, 1573). More on Dorat’s account see Florence VUILLEUMIER-LAURENS, Pierre Laurens, Le Bal des Polonais, 139. The article by F. Vuilleumier-Laurens and P. Laurens (p. 139) questions the opinion aired by V.E. Graham and W. McAllister Johnson (EIDEM, The Royal Tour of France by Charles IX and Catherine de’Medici: Festivals and Entries 1564–6 (Toronto–Buffalo–London: University of Toronto Press, 1979), 58, note 105); the latter claimed that Dorat’s description was in fact “a collection of verse without any transitional or programmatic commentary.” According to E. Kociszewska, the core of Magnificentissimi spectaculi... descriptio is Chorea Nympharum, a description of the nymphs’ dance, which via images drawn from ancient poetry and unlike other texts written to commemorate the election of Henri de Valois, has a covert political message Ballet des Polonais; see Ewa KOCISZEWSKA, “War and Seduction,” 811ff.


13 Pierre DE BOURDEILLE, “Discours II, De la Reyne Mere de nos Roys derniers Catherine de Medicis,” in Memoires de Messire Pierre du Bourdeille, Seigneur de Brantome, contenant Les Vies des Dames Illustres de France de son temps (Leyde: Jean Sambix le jeune, 1665), 80–82 (an account of the performance).
Les Polonais admirèrent les confusions bien desmêlées, les chiffres bien formez du ballet, les musiques différentes, et dirent que le bal de France est chose impossible à contrefaire à tous les rois de la terre.  

As in the case of architecture, we do not find confirmation of this awe with the performance in the Poles’ accounts: the description in Diariusz is limited to enumerating the successive parts of the performance. The hastily summarised songs did not inspire much interest in the envoys; nor were they thrilled by the hour-long dance of sixteen nymphs of France.

The envoys definitely understood the literal aspect of the Ballet des Polonais as accounted for in Diariusz; we cannot be sure that they appreciated the deeper meaning of what they saw. The Poles did not expect the political significance of the performances

---

14 Agryppa D’AUBIGNÉ, Histoire universelle, vol. IV, éd. André Thierry (Genève: Droz, 1981–1999), 156. “Poles would marvel at such well-ordered chaos, well-formed dancing arrangements and diverse music and said that the French dance performance cannot possibly be matched by any other king in the world.”

15 A description of the Ballet des Polonais can be found in Diariusz poselstwa polskiego do Francji, 215–17: “[... ] A zatym ona białogłowa, która na samym wierzchu siedziała, która znaczeła totum Regnum Galliae, poczęła osobliwym glosem pięknym śpiewać wiersze francuskim językiem, dziękując Królowi Polskiemu naprzód za to, iż bronił od nieprzyjaciół to Królestwo, potym żałując jego stąd odczynienia etc. Takież wypowiadając illius heroica gesta, wiedzieli, że te provinciae za jego sprawą były w pokoju zachowane, wypowiadając potom szczęśliwego panowania etc. / [... ] Tamże one w pośrodek onej sale przyszadowszy, tańce osobne i bardzo misterne tańcowały, który ich taniec trwał o godzinę, i w każdym tańcu inakże gesty i inakże sztuki czyniły, co belo z wielkim podziwieniem u wszech ludzi” [The comely lady who sat at the very top, who personified totum Regnum Galliae, began to sing with a uniquely charming voice verses in the French language, extending thanks to the Polish King, first of all for defending the Kingdom against her enemies, then deploring his departure from here, etc. She moreover wished him a good and auspicious reign over this Kingdom; these verses she would sing for a full hour. / Having completed her singing at the very top, then another comely lady, who was seated in the foreground with a lute, began to pluck the lute and sing verses in the French language to its tone, deploring the discessum of the Poligh King, etc. [...] She also sang deploring the discessum Regis, extolling illius heroica gesta, wiedelicet, that the provinciae through his action were left in peace, wishing later a happy reign, etc. / [... ] There and then, gathering in the centre of the hall, they danced separately and in a most sophisticated manner, which dance was an hour long, and in each dance they made different gestures and different feats, which was greatly admired by all people in attendance]. E. Kociszewska implies that the author of Diariusz, Protestant Andrzej Górka, could have restrained in expressing his enchantment in France due to his personal dislike of Henri de Valois’ election (See E. KOCISZEWSKA, “War and Seduction,” 815).

staged in the French court. This does not mean, however, that the reaction of Agryppa d’Aubigné was not true; it may have been caused by the confusion in the face of an art so distant to Polish one and of such an exotic taste. We should not be surprised by the reaction of the Polish envoys, however, and accuse them of artistic and intellectual insensitivity, since Bourdeille himself used the term “bizarre” three times in his account of the *Ballet des Polonais* (twice with respect to the entire show: “ballet bizarre” and “si bizarrement inventé,” and once when referring to the figure created by the nymphs: “petit bataillon bizarrement inventé”). Even in his eyes the ballet illustrating a message hidden in unintelligible alusions which only a narrow circle of erudites could disambiguate made an impression of “confusion” and “desordre.” During their visit to Paris the envoys—Polish humanists—admired the grandiosity and splendour, remaining indifferent to the culture.

There was one exception to the overall indifference: the Poles in Paris were thrilled by the decorative city gates and the ephemeral triumphal arches. They were able to marvel at them during their own entry to Paris through the Gate Saint Martin, on August 19, and during the entry of Henri de Valois as the Polish king through the Gate Saint Antoine, on 14 September 1573. Readers of *Diariusz* can identify clear admiration with such monumens of architecture and ephemeral art; the gates were described and the inscriptions they bore were quoted *in extenso*. The main ephemeral Parisian gate erected to commorate the entry of Henry into Paris was designed by...
Antoine Caron and Germain Pilon, with poetic inscriptions by Ronsard. When Henry arrived in Krakow in February 1574, the first ephemeral triumphal arch on Polish soil was erected for him. Poles recreated the gate in Paris, adorning it with an eagle of their own creation, which fluttered its wings when Henry was passing through the gate. The poems on the arch were composed by Jan Kochanowski. Ever since, ephemeral triumphal gates were an indispensable element of the solemn entries of monarchs into towns across the Commonwealth of Poland and Lithuania.

The Polish-French culture dialogue in 1573 began and finished with official celebrations. The differences and misunderstandings were dealt with by representatives of both nationalities by a safe désintéressement. The situation was much worse in Krakow: Henry’s court was given to revelries that scandalised the Poles and the Polish nobility were disregarded by the monarch; there were night assaults with casualties. The initial lack of understanding bordering on awe transformed on both sides during Henri de Valois’ stay in Poland into overt lack of understanding bordering on aversion. After Henry’s escape from Poland, the French-Polish animosities reached their apogee.

---


21 French influences were visible e.g. in the setting of the celebration inspired by Jan Zamoyski, commemorating King Sigismund III’s entry to Krakow in 1587. See Karolina TARGOSZ, “Oprawa artystyczno-ideowa wjazdów weselnych trzech sióstr Habsburżanek (Kraków 1592 i 1605, Flo- renca 1608),” in Theatrum ceremoniale na dworze królów i książąt polskich, proceedings from a conference held by the Wawel Royal Castle and Jagiellonian University Institute of History, 23–25 March 1998, ed. Mariusz Markiewicz, Ryszard Skowron (Kraków: Zamek Królewski na Wawelu, 1999), 212–13; See also Juliusz CHROŚCICKI, “Barokowa architektura okaza- nalna,” in Wiek XVII—Konty- reformacja—Barok. Prace z historii kultury, ed. Janusz Pelc, (Wrocław: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich, 1970), 229–54 (esp. pp. 236–46, a study of the triumphal arches erected for the entry of Marie Louise Gonzaga to Gdańsk, 11 February 1646; despite having to endure freezing cold for a few hours, Marie Louise was enchanted with Gdańsk).

The escape of the French court from Krakow, or rather its intention or only a poetic fantasy, was paradoxically an opportunity for an ephemeral exchange between the leading poets of both countries, Philippe Desportes and Jan Kochanowski. Desportes was the first to start. Still, before the end of May or in early June 1574 (the news of Charles IX’s death reached Krakow on June 14 and the escape of Henry with his court took place on the night of June 19), the Frenchman wrote a malicious *Adieu à la Pologne* (published in Paris by Robert Estienne in 1576). He would castigate all the vices that he could identify in Poles during his stay in Poland and expressed his misery arising from this stay away from his home country. Most probably at the end of 1575, *Adieu à la Pologne* reached Poland without the author’s name and was taken very seriously and literally. It was translated by an anonymous translator into Latin as *Valedictio Poloniae per quendam Gallum*, then from Latin into Polish as *Francuz do Polskiej żegnając ją in anno 1574*. Emotions were running high and probably made the anonymous translator into Latin add to the original some pejorative adjectives (“tetra,” v. 1 and 8; “damnata,” v. 3; “ridicula,” v. 28), which augmented the negative message of Desportes’ poem. The first reply to *Adieu à la Pologne*, or *Odpowiedź przez Polaka wszetecznemu Francuzowi*, was provided by an anonymous poet, a Protestant, most likely the author of the Polish translation of Desportes text; he undermined the French calumnies one by one.

Polish national pride, hurt the second time (after Henry’s escape from Poland), prevented any distance and the literal reading obscured the more profound poetic meaning; Desportes-as-Frenchman did not enjoy the privilege


26 W. Weintraub refers to *Odpowiedź przez Polaka wszetecznemu Francuzowi:* “And it is not free from a certain naivété” (See IDEM, *Kochanowski versus Desportes*, 469).
of being read as Desportes-as-poet, whom he precisely and primarily was, his *Adieu à la Pologne* being poetry. The poetry of the 16th century continued to be in dialogue with the poetic legacy of Antiquity and Desportes was no exception. His contemporaries were oblivious of this dialogue in *Adieu à la Pologne*.

The successive motifs of Desportes’ poem describing Poland, i.e. severe winters, the barbarian inhabitants clad in animal hides, the barren lands, come from an account of miseries of Ovid’s exile which was suffered, like Desportes, among the “barbaria”, among the “Sauromatae […], fera gens” (*Trist.*, III, 10, v. 4-5). Apart from other possible reasons for penning *Adieu à la Pologne* (malice, the desire to humour the king or to blame Henry’s escape on Poles themselves), Desportes, a conscious poet, took advantage of his visit to the country where Ovid’s earthly remains were buried to transform his life experience into Ovid’s *exilium.* The fifty-four verses of *Adieu à la Pologne* is a condensation of the *topoi* of exile from *Tristia* and *Epistulae ex Ponto.* The perspective of dialogue with Antiquity shifts the main focus of *Adieu à la Pologne* from low-brow calumnies flung at Poland to the construction of the image of Desportes as a poet in exile. What is at stake here is a poetic motif, but it cannot be ruled out that, faced with a prospective return to France, Desportes was already intent on winning the favours of the French court in order to remain the official poet of the monarchy.

Contrasted with the literal *Odpowiedź przez Polaka wszetecznemu Francuzowi* is the *Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή* by Jan Kochanowski, or the second reply to Desportes’ poem, penned in 1576, a period of relative peace and quiet, when a second royal election was underway in Poland.

27 The Ovidian intertextuality of *Adieu à la Pologne* was noted by Henri Lamarque, See “L’Imitation d’Ovide dans ‘L’Adieu à la Pologne’ de Philippe Desportes,” in Ovide en France dans la Renaissance, ed. Henri Lamarque, André Baïche (Toulouse: Université de Toulouse le Mirail, 1981), 59–77. The subject was raised and elaborated on, incl. the relations between *Adieu à la Pologne* and *Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή*, by Rory Finnin in his article “Attendants to the Duel: Classical Intertexts in Philippe Desportes’s ‘Adieu à la Pologne’ and Jan Kochanowski’s ‘Gallo Crocitanti’,” Comparative Literature Studies 44 No. 4 (2007): 458–83.

28 Zofia Głombiowska, “Tere de France,” 76.


30 This is put forth by R. Finnin in his article, “Attendants to the Duel.”

Kochanowski most likely did not know French in the manner allowing him to glean the covert message of poems. There is no way of knowing if he had access to or even knew of the existence of the French original of *Adieu à la Pologne*. He read Desportes’ poem in its Latin translation, *Valedictio Poloniae per quendam Gallum*, and replied to this text, quoting some of its verses verbatim in *Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή*. How much of the original *Adieu à la Pologne* was Kochanowski able to read in *Valedictio Poloniae*?

The Latin version of *Adieu à la Pologne* is a rather precise rendition. Apart from the above three added pejorative adjectives, the changes with respect to the original arose mainly from the care of faithful transformation of the message, hence extended explicatory excerpts (e.g. in v. 15 “lupis coiisse immitibus agnos,” explaining “l’âge d’or,” v. 11 in Desportes or verses 29-30, to translate v. 24 in Desportes). Was Kochanowski able to capture the Ovidian intertextuality in the Latin translation of the original? These are the examples of correspondences between the original, its Latin translation and relevant excerpts from Ovid:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Adieu à la Pologne</em></th>
<th><em>Valedictio Poloniae per quendam Gallum</em></th>
<th>Excerpts from Ovid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“plaines desertes / Tousiours de neige ou de glace couvertes”³³ (v. 1–2)</td>
<td>“plena Polonia tantum / Deserti […] / Aeterno damnata gelu niviumque perenni”³⁵ (v. 1–3)</td>
<td>“Nix iacet, et iactem ne sol pluiaueque resoluant, / indurat Boreas perpetuamque facit” <em>(Trist., III, 10, 13–14)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³² Kochanowski may have read French texts to the extent he was aided by his knowledge of Latin and Italian. For a debate on Kochanowski’s being able to read French or not see e.g.: Stanisław Kot, *Adieu*, 20; J. Pelc, *Jana Kochanowskiego wycieczka*, 100; Wiktor Weintraub, “O prze- rzutniach Kochanowskiego i ich włoskich wzorach,” in *Rzecz czarnoleska* (Kraków: Wydawnictwo Literackie, 1977), 334; Stanisław Windakiewicz, *Jan Kochanowski*, 22; Maciej Żurowski, “Twórczość Kochanowskiego,” in *Między renesansem a awangardą*, 23; Zofia Głombiowska, “Tere de France,” 72–73.

³³ I refer to the correspondences between the text of *Adieu à la Pologne* and excerpts of Ovid’s poetry after: R. Finnin (“Attendants to the Duel,” 463–65). In his article the author does not take into account the intermediary text between *Adieu à la Pologne* and *Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή*, i.e. *Valedictio Poloniae*.


³⁵ *Valedictio Poloniae* quoted after: Stanisław Kot, *Adieu*, 10-11 (the text from a manuscript from S. Kot’s private holdings, with reference to manuscripts No. 168 and No. 1042 from the Ossoliński collection).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>French Text</th>
<th>Latin Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Ton air, tes meurs, m’ont si fort sceu desplaire, / Qu’il faudra bien que tout me soit contraire, / Si jamais plus je retourne en ce lieu. / Adieu maisons d’admirable structure” (v. 4–7)</td>
<td>“tantum coelumque solumque / Et mores placuere tui, prius omnia nostris / Ut fieri possint et erunt contraria votis, / Quam fuerint quae me reducem tibi tempora sistant. / [...] et vos miranda domorum/tecta” (v. 4–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Barbare peuple, arrogant et volage” (v. 19)</td>
<td>“Barbara gens et vana” (v. 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Puis comme un Mars veut estre renomme” (v. 23)</td>
<td>“his clara meretur / Nomina et hisce cien Gradivum in proelia patem” (v. 29–30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Vos peaux de loup” (v. 26)</td>
<td>“exuviaeque luporum” (v. 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“La pauureté seulement vous defend. / Si votre terre estoit mieux cultiuee” (v. 30–31)</td>
<td>“Paupertas haec vestra facit, quam despicit hostis. / [...] si culta novalia [...] / Ulla foret” (v. 37–39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adieu à la Pologne imitates Ovid’s *topoi* rather than his lexis, which due to the use of the French language would have been imprecise anyway. In order for Kochanowski, the ideal reader of Desportes, to discern via the Latin translation the dialogue of Adieu à la Pologne with Ovid under the surface of insults, it was necessary that the Latin version be as close to the original precisely at the level of *topoi*. The above table proves that Valedictio Poloniae per quendam Gallum meets this criterion. At the same time, an absence of references to Ovidian poetry in Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή does not preclude Kochanowski’s reading Ovid’s intertext: the use of the same sources would have been tantamount to emulating Desportes, which Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή does not concentrate on.

Ἀµοιβή is a recompense, an exchange or a reply to a letter. In the poetic world, ἀµοιβή invokes the world of the bucolics. Central to Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβή...
ἀµοιβή is not so much a literal counterattack but a polemic with Desportes at the literary level, which leads to a clash of two visions of Polish-French events of 1574, as well as two divergent poetical concepts. The first verse of Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβῆ (“Et tamen hanc poteras mecum requiescere noctem”) is a nearly verbatim quote from Virgil’s first eclogue (Ecl. I, 79, “Hic tamen hanc mecum poteras requiescere noctem”). These words are uttered in the bucolic by Tiresias, the happy old man who lives on his own land in peace and quiet. He addresses Melibeus, a shepherd exiled from his homeland. Kochanowski meets Desportes at a place he himself took in exile and, in the guise of Tiresias, feels sorry for Desportes-Melibeus in his exilium, reversing the roles of the victor and the victim. The bucolic exile of the shepherd may be a conscious response to the topos of Ovid’s exile. One way or another, Kochanowski contrasts an elegiac Ovid with a bucolic one, and in the further section of the poem also with an epic Virgil, and ironically highlights the aspirations of the French through references to the Eneid. Kochanowski builds his commentary on the 1574 events indirectly, not via the literal nature of the similies but through references to their context. This takes place, for instance, in references to the story of Attis’ transformation into a woman; the name Attis is not used but single words (highlighted D.P.-D.) point to Catullus, 63:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catullus, 63 (v. 12–13; 27–30)</th>
<th>Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβῆ (v. 29–34)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agite ite ad alta, Gallae. Cybeles nemora simul, Simul ite, Dindymenae dominae vaga pecora […] Simul haec comitibus Attis cecinit notha mulier, Thiasus repente linguis trepidantibus ululat, Leve tympanum remugit, cava cymbula recrepant, Viridem citus adit Idam properante pede chorus.</td>
<td>Galli, inquam, quaerite coelum Mitius, et patriam cursum convertite ad Idam. Tympana quo raucque vocat vos tibia cantu, Et thiasus tremulis ululans per Dindyma linguis, Ite sacrum aucturi numerum, famulique Cybelles, Magnam semimari matrem stipate corona.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frenzy of mystery celebrations in honour of goddess Cybele points to the frenetic escape of the French, while the self-inflicted injury of Attis corresponds to the effemination of the Galls.

38 See Wiktor Weintraub, Kochanowski versus Desportes,” 471–72; in the context of Catullus, the noun “gallus”—a cock, a Frenchman, acquires a new meaning in the pun of Gallo crocitanti ἀµοιβῆ, a castrate.
Through the sophisticated use of the context of Latin similies, in dialogue with *Adieu à la Pologne*, Kochanowski introduces autotelic reflection into his poem. Kochanowski’s reply counters, moreover a vision of poetry represented by Desportes. He counters a slight imitation aimed at a poetic rendition of one’s own ego with a humanist concept of open poetry, based on an intimate dialogue with *veteres*, mindful of the seriousness of the status of poetry and of its educational function.39

On the French side this early dialogue could not possibly produce any effects. *Gallo crocianti áµoibh* was most likely never read by Desportes; Kochanowski did not publish his text (nor did he publish another of his anti-French poems, *De electione, coronatione et fuga Galli* [late 1574]40). *Gallo crocianti áµoibh* came out in print only in the *Lyricorum libellus*, in 1612, after Desportes’ death.

In the first Polish-French encounter of cultures, the exchange between two renowned poets was the final gaze at each other. The conclusion resulting from this look gives a succinct picture of the impossibility of real Polish-French communion during the short reign of Henri de Valois in the field of literary culture and culture in general. The language gap was only an apparent problem. What was more important, however, was the difference in the development of poetic and aesthetic ideas. In the second half of the 16th century, France was strongly focused on the resurrection of Antiquity in its national variety, both in French poetry and in the visual arts. Therefore, the French could not possibly be impressed by the achievements of Polish Renaissance, which in its best projects came close to Italian humanism. Additionally, in the person of Desportes, French poetry was already moving in a completely different direction; his mannerism *précieux* was another step away from a humanist approach to poetry, which Kochanowski aptly noticed. Eventually, however, the rejection of Desportes’ malice was for Kochanowski yet another opportunity for a dialogue with the poetic legacy. Desportes did the same in his *Adieu à la Pologne*. Despite the lack of common ground in culture and politics, despite the divergent approaches to the shape and direction of poetry’s development, the failure from the time of Henry’s reign brings out the fact that Kochanowski accepted Desportes’

---

39 Cf. the conclusion of the article by R. Finnin (“Attendants to the Duel,” 479–80).

challenge at the artistic level; the Polish-French dialogue flourished for a short spell only over a cultural ravine.
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THE FIRST POLISH-FRENCH RENDEZ-VOUS OF CULTURES.
HENRY III OF FRANCE’S (HENRI DE VALOIS’) POLISH EPISODE

Summary

A complex political game, differences in the level of development of political, social and aesthetic thought, different customs, and also frequently a language gap—all of these conspired against the Polish-French dialogue in the sphere of culture in the years 1573-1574. The article analyzes the opportunities for both parties to get to know each other during the Polish episode of Henri de Valois: a visit by the Polish group of envoys to Paris in the summer of 1573, and a poetical exchange between Philippe Desportes and Poles, including Jan Kochanowski, that closed the Henri period. The exchange, up till the recent times called univocally a “duel,” turns out to be, in the case of Adieu à la Pologne and Gallo crocianti ἀµοιβή the start of a real, albeit ephemeral, dialogue beyond political and everyday reality, one at the level of art.
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